

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/736,450	HUGHES, RODNEY A.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Tuan T. Lam	2816

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Tuan T. Lam.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney David M. Doyle (Reg. no. 43,596).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 January 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24, 26, 30, 32, 36

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 24, 26, 30, 32 and 36 in order to comply with the 35USC 112, second paragraph and to place the application in a condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**TUANT.LAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)